



## GEN-FISH CONTRIBUTION & AUTHORSHIP CHECKLIST

ADAPTED WITH PERMISSION FROM [MILES, RENEDO, AND MARSTON \(2021\)](#)

- Has the nature of academic publication processes and authorship conventions been fully discussed and agreed upon by all contributors?  
This is particularly important for non-academic contributors.
- Have non-academic contributors been invited to co-author the work?
- Contributors whose contribution does not meet agreed-upon criteria for authorship should be named in the acknowledgements. Have named individuals been informed?
- The lead author must draft the paper with input from all other authors, and likewise be responsible for submission, revisions, and defense of the manuscript. Has the lead author received permission from all other authors prior to submission?
- In cases where another author is serving as the corresponding author (for submission, peer-reviewing, and proofing stages), have all other authors agreed upon this designation?
- Have the findings in this manuscript been translated to other channels (e.g., social media, policy documents, media articles, etc.)?  
Findings from academic journal publications should be converted to alternative formats to ensure inclusive dissemination.
- Have the particular needs of members of the team been considered in publication/authorship strategy?  
As one example, early-career researchers should be prioritized to foster experience and professional currency.
- Are members of the core Research Team included as authors of this manuscript?  
For GEN-FISH, core Research Team refers to: <https://gen-fish.ca/team/>
- If applicable, have the funding/collaborative services of Genome Canada and/or G enome Qu ebec been appropriately acknowledged?  
"This work was funded by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and the Ontario Genomics Institute (OGI-184)" or "We thank the contribution of platform personnel and the Centre d'expertise et de services G enome Qu ebec".

